
PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE	2. LOCATION		12. CONCLUSIONS
August 1963	Easton, Massachusetts		☐ Was Balloon ☐ Probably Balloon ☐ Possibly Balloon ☐ Was Aircraft ☐ Probably Aircraft ☐ Possibly Aircraft ☒ Was Astronomical Meteor ☐ Probably Astronomical ☐ Possibly Astronomical ☐ Other____ ☐ Insufficient Data for Evaluation ☐ Unknown
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 2045 GMT (?)/0145Z	4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION ☒ Ground-Visual ☐ Ground-Radar ☐ Air-Visual ☐ Air-Intercept Radar		
5. PHOTOS ☐ Yes ☒ No	6. SOURCE civilian		
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION not reported	8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS one	9. COURSE not reported	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Day duration, direction etc not included Object described as flying paralell to grround. Brilliant light, red on outer edge witha white center. White tail about 2X size of object.		11. COMMENTS Limited data indicates meteor as a likely cause.	

ATIC FORM 329 (REV 26 SEP 52)



[redacted]

Rockland, Mass.





Major Maston M. Jacks (USAF)
Dept. of the Secretary
U.S. Air Force
Washington 25, D.C.

c/o Public Information Division


(1)

Dear Major Jocke,

I am in receipt of your very informative letter explaining the fact that my alleged sighting was only a meteor or other associated phenomena. Thank you. Also, any assistance I may give in your investigations, please let me know. One final thing. You mentioned Air Force investigations in the past (10) years have proved "Almost Conclusively" that there is a logical explanation for all aerial phenomena. I am led to believe that there have been reports that have defied "Logical Explanation". Could you fill me in on this percentage?

Respectfully Submitted

[redacted]



[redacted]

Rockland, Mass.

Office Of Information
U.S. Air Force
Washington 25, D.C.

attn. Director

Gentlemen:

For the past three years or so, I have been engaged in a private study on the subject of ufology. I have arrived at three conclusions as a result of my research:

1) UFOS are real
2) UFOS are extraterrestrial
3) UFOS are under intelligent control

To further strengthen my convictions, myself and my incredulous wife and mother-in-law have had at least (3) good sightings, each, on separate occasions, which I will summarize briefly for you later.

Anyway, I have several questions. Why isn't the press giving the excellent coverage they used to give in the past, relative to this topic. Only through organs such as 'NICAP' and 'APRO' am I aware of any recent (up-to-date) sightings. Of course you can understand my avid interest in the subject, for two reasons — (1) As an American, I want to know — (2) Since I am making a study of ufology my information relative to the topic I consider important.

7/

I have been in touch with every major newspaper in the country. and their files are filled with 'UFO info', which they have been so gracious enough to pass on to me. As the prime investigatory apparatus for investigating 'UFOS', I'm sure your files are even more so loaded with 'info', and I would be just fine if you could pass any and all past or present information on to me for my perusal.

Sincerely

[redacted]

The following is a summation of my wife's, mother-in-law, and my sightings respectively — Both took place in Oct. '63

My sighting — Easton, Mass. Aug '63 Rte 123 8:45 PM visibility - excellent
Size - can't say Altitude - approx [illegible]

Red outer edge
white tail - white sphere
extremely brilliant light
travelling parallel to ground and I watched it disappear into the sky

wife and mother-in-law (together) S. Weymouth, Mass. ([illegible]) Rte 18 UFO appeared to be investigating telephone wires and was about 20' above wires travelling very slow, UFO stopped and hovered for an instant, then disappeared into space. Wife & mother said they both looked at UFO at same instant as though 'signalled'.

completely white light
3' in diameter (approx)

MEMO ROUTING SLIP

NEVER USE FOR APPROVALS, DISAPPROVALS, CONCURRENCES, OR SIMILAR ACTIONS

1 NAME OR TITLE Dave	INITIALS		CIRCULATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	DATE		COORDINATION
2			FILE
			INFORMATION
3			NECESSARY ACTION
			NOTE AND RETURN
4			SEE ME
			SIGNATURE

REMARKS

Mother-in-law not the only incredulous one —
Info on which to base reply, pls.

FROM NAME OR TITLE Helen	DATE
ORGANIZATION AND LOCATION	TELEPHONE

DD FORM 1 FEB 50 95 Replaces DA AGO Form 895, 1 Apr 48, and AFHQ Form 12, 10 Nov 47, which may be used. c48—16—74067-2 GPO

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: Request for UFO Information ([redacted]

TO: Hq USAF SAFOI-PB (Mrs Gaiser)
Wash 25 D C

1. The following information is provided to assist you in answering a letter from [redacted]. Our records indicate no previous correspondence from him.

2. There is no point in discussing the belief that UFO's are real, extraterrestrial and under intelligence control with Mr [redacted]. Acceptance of these points are done with an act of faith, without scientific support. There is also no point in sending him an information sheet. However, since he has requested information relative to UFO's the information should provide him with the Air Force's position.

3. Regarding the sighting at Easton, Massachusetts, August 1963, it is apparently a meteor observation, however, the duration was not reported and motion of the object not included. If the flight was straight and the duration short this would be the Air Force evaluation. If Mr [redacted] desires further information, it is suggested that he fill out the form 164 and forward the completed form to your office.

4. The information provided on the South Waymouth, Massachusetts sighting is insufficient for even a tentative analysis.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Hector Quintanilla Jr, Capt
ERIC T de JONCKHEERE,
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems

1 Atch
Ltr [redacted]

EASTON MASS

March 11, 1964

Dear Mr. [redacted]

This is in reply to your recent letter in which you reported the sighting of an Unidentified Flying Object in Easton, Mass. in August of 1963, and one seen by your mother-in-law in South Weymouth.

Your sighting at Easton is apparently a meteor observation, however, the duration was not reported and motion of the object was not included in your letter. The information provided on the South Weymouth sighting is insufficient for even a tentative analysis.

In over 8,000 cases during the past 16 years, there has never been any evidence or indication that Unidentified Flying Objects represent spaceships from other planets. On the contrary, Air Force investigations have proved almost conclusively that there is a logical explanation for all aerial phenomena, whether created and sent aloft by man, generated by atmospheric conditions, or caused by celestial bodies and their residue of meteors, etc.

Sincerely,

MASTON M. JACKS
Major, USAF
Public Information Division
Office of Information

Mr. [redacted]
Rockland, Mass.